Oligonucleotides Containing 7-Deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine: Synthesis, Base Protection, and Base-Pair Stability

by Frank Seela* and Khalil I. Shaikh

Laboratorium für Organische und Bioorganische Chemie, Institut für Chemie, Universität Osnabrück, Barbarastrasse 7, D-49069 Osnabrück, and

Laboratory of Bioorganic Chemistry and Chemical Biology, Center for Nanotechnology (CeNTech), Heisenbergstrasse 11, D-48149 Münster

(phone: +49(0)541 969 2791; fax: +49(0)541 969 2370; e-mail: Frank.Seela@uni-osnabrueck.de)

Oligonucleotides incorporating 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3) and 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) were prepared by solid-phase synthesis using the phosphoramidites 6–9 and 16 which were protected with allyl, diphenylcarbamoyl, or 2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl groups. Among the various groups, only the 2-(4 nitrophenyl)ethyl group was applicable to 7-deazaxanthine protection being removed with 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU) by β -elimination, while the deprotection of the allyl residue with Pd⁰ catalyst or the diphenylcarbamoyl group with ammonia failed. Contrarily, the allyl group was found to be an excellent protecting group for 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1). The base pairing of nucleoside 3 with the four canonical DNA constituents as well as with 3-bromo-1-(2-deoxy- β -D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine-4,6-diamine (4) within the 12-mer duplexes was studied, showing that 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3) has the same universal base-pairing properties as 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1). Contrary to the latter, it is extremely stable at the N-glycosylic bond, while compound 1 is easily hydrolyzed under slightly acidic conditions. Due to the p K_a values 5.7 (1) and 6.7 (3), both compounds form monoanions under neutral conditions (95% for 1; 65% for 3). Although both compounds form monoanions at pH 7.0, pH-dependent T_m measurements showed that the base-pair stability of 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3) with dT is pH-independent. This indicates that the 2-oxo group is not involved in base-pair formation.

Introduction. – The 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) and 2'-deoxyoxanosine (2) are the major products of the spontaneous nitrosative deamination of 2'-deoxyguanosine [1] (purine numbering is used throughout the discussion section). Both nucleosides are susceptible to N-glycosylic-bond hydrolysis, thereby forming abasic sites in the DNA helix. The 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) is sensitive to acidic conditions [2] but is relatively stable at physiological pH [3] [4]. Compound 1 has been prepared by enzymatic transglycosylation [2] [5] or nitrosative deamination of dG under alkaline conditions [6]. An enzymatic synthesis of 1 from 2'-deoxyisoinosine has been reported by our laboratory [7]. Contrary to the labile xanthine nucleoside 1, the glycosylic bond of 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3) , which was first synthesized in our laboratory, is resistant to 'depurination' even under strong acidic conditions $[8]$. Both, 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) and 7-deaza- $2'$ -deoxyxanthosine (3), form oligonucleotide duplexes and triplexes $[9-12]$. Also, $2'$ deoxyxanthosine (1) forms four-stranded (quartets) and/or six-stranded structures in aqueous solution [13]. As the recognition sites of the nucleosides 1 and 3 show the same donor–acceptor pattern as thymidine (dT), base pairs are formed with comple-

© 2006 Verlag Helvetica Chimica Acta AG, Zürich

mentary nucleosides which normally pair with dU or dT. However, pairing with dA or related purine-2,6-diamine nucleosides generates purine–purine pairs which can distort the DNA-helix structure but can contribute better stacking interactions induced by an increased surface area.

It has been reported that 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) might expand the genetic code when it pairs with a pyrimidine-2,4-diamine C-nucleoside [14]. However, experimental data show that the enzymatic incorporation of a pyrimidine-2,4-diamine C-nucleoside triphosphate on a DNA template containing compounds 1 or 3 is slow compared to the canonical nucleotides [14]. Therefore, the expansion of the genetic code system by a new base pair containing compound 1 or 3 is limited due to polymerases acceptance [14]. Nevertheless, compound 1 is valuable in the structural investigation of DNA as

it is the major deamination product formed from 2'-deoxyguanosine, and compound 3 is useful for DNA-triplex and -quartet formation. Also, compounds 1 and 3 can be applied to oligonucleotide diagnostics and therapeutics as well as for primer probing. Having this in mind, efforts were made to prepare oligonucleotides containing 7 deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3) or 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1). Solid-phase oligonucleotide synthesis has already been performed with 3 by using the protocol of phosphonate chemistry. In this case, the base of the 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine phosphonate 5 stayed unprotected [12] [14]. As oligonucleotide synthesis by using phosphonate chemistry is now rarely undertaken, phosphoramidite building blocks which can be employed in solid-phase synthesis are needed.

For the first time, we now report on a base-protected 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine phosphoramidite (see 9) which allowed multiple incorporations into an oligonucleotide chain with coupling yields identical to those of the canonical nucleosides. The corresponding unprotected phosphoramidite 6 does not fulfill these necessities as coupling yields were low, by-products were formed, and multiple incorporations were almost impossible [15]. The applicability of allyl, diphenylcarbamoyl, and 2-(4-nitrophenyl) ethyl protecting groups to block the 2,6-dioxo functions of compound 3 were compared. Allyl protection was also applied to 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) which has been protected earlier with one or two 2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl residues as protecting groups [4] [9] [10]. The hybridization properties of oligonucleotide duplexes containing 1 and 3 with the canonical DNA bases as well as with the 3-bromo-1- $[2$ -deoxy- β -D-erythropentofuranosyl)-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine-4,6-diamine (4) were also studied.

Results and Discussion. – 1. Nucleobase Protection and Phosphoramidite Synthesis. Different from the large number of amino-protecting groups used in oligonucleotide synthesis, the number of oxo-protecting groups used for the nucleobase protection is limited. This results from the observation that in some of the cases, e.g., for 2'-deoxyguanosine, oxo-group protection is not required when phosphoramidite chemistry is employed. However, already in the case of 2'-deoxyisoguanosine, it was necessary to protect the 2-oxo-function, otherwise the preparation of a phosphoramidite was difficult, and multiple incorporations of the modified nucleoside were impossible [16]. In the first set of experiments, the allyl group was selected as a protecting group for 7 deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3). The O-allyl protection of nucleosides has already been reported by Hayakawa, Noyori, and co-worker [17]. The O-allyl protecting group is stable under standard conditions applied to oligonucleotide synthesis and deprotection. As it is sensitive to the palladium (0) complexes in the presence of nucleophiles but stable in ammonia, it represents an orthogonal protecting group among the common protecting groups used in oligonucleotide chemistry. Phosphoramidites with allyl protection were already prepared for 2'-deoxyguanosine (dG) and 2'-deoxythymidine (dT) and were used successfully in coupling reactions performed in solution or on solidphase [17] [18]. Eschenmoser and co-workers used the 2,6-di-O-allyl-protected phosphoramidite of hexopyranosylxanthine nucleoside in oligonucleotide solid-phase synthesis [19]. The same protecting group is now employed for the protection of the 2,6 dioxo functions of nucleoside 3. For that, 2,4-dichloro-7-[2-deoxy-3,5-di-O-(p-toluoyl)- β -D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine (10a) was prepared [20]. It was treated with 1M NaOCH₂CH=CH₂ in allyl alcohol at 50 $^{\circ}$ furnishing 2,6-bis(allyl-

oxy) compound 11a in 84% yield. Then the 4,4'-dimethoxytrityl $((MeO)_2$ Tr) residue was introduced with 4,4'-dimethoxytrityl chloride in pyridine yielding derivative 12a. Subsequent treatment of 12a with 2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite afforded phosphoramidite 7 (Scheme 1).

Phosphoramidite 7 was successfully employed in solid-phase synthesis resulting in coupling yields being compatible with normal phosphoramidites (see Sect. 2). However, the deblocking of the allyl group from the otherwise deprotected oligonucleotide failed. As it was not clear whether this was due to the 7-deazapurine system, the allyl protecting group was also used for the 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) protection. The same protocol as performed with 3 was also applied to compound 1. In this case, 2,6-dichloro-9-[2-deoxy-3,5-di-O-(p-toluoyl)- β -D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]purine (10b) [20] was used as precursor. Allylation of **10b** was performed in a similar way as described for 11a affording 11b. Then, compound 11b was treated with 4,4'-dimethoxytrityl chloride/pyridine furnishing the 4,4'-dimethoxytrityl derivative 12b. Subsequent treatment with 2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite afforded phosphoramidite 8. When this building block was used in solid-phase oligonucleotide synthesis in the same way as described for phosphoramidite 7, the same high coupling yields were realized with 8 as observed with 7. However, contrary to the 7-deazapurine containing oligonucleotides, the deprotection of the allyl residues from the xanthine oligomers progressed smoothly furnishing the deprotected oligonucleotides (more information is given in *Sect.* 2). Therefore, the diphenylcarbamoyl (dpc) group was chosen next for the protection of compound 3.

The dpc group was used earlier as oxo-protecting group for 7-deaza-2'-deoxyguanosine and 7-deaza-2'-deoxyisoguanosine [21] [22]. It can be removed under standard conditions (25% aqueous NH₃ solution, 60°). Treatment of 3 with diphenylcarbamic chloride in pyridine afforded compound 13a (74%) together with tris(diphenycarbamoyl) derivative 13b (6%) (Scheme 2). Different from 7-deaza-2'-deoxyguanosine or 7 deaza-2'-deoxyisoguanosine where the reaction with diphenylcarbamic chloride occurred at the oxo group, the dpc residues of 13a became attached to the ring N-atoms. This was confirmed by ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy (*Table 1*). The chemical shifts of C(2) and $C(6)$ of compound **13a** (purine numbering) appeared at similar positions as those of the nucleoside 3 or the N(1), N(3)-methylated derivative 13c (=7-(2-deoxy- β -Derythro-pentofuranosyl)-1,7-dihydro-1,3-dimethyl-2H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2,4(3H) dione) [23] (13a: $(\delta 151.1(C(2)), \delta 157.6(C(6));$ 3: $\delta 150.4(C(2)), \delta 159.5(C(6));$ 13c: δ 151.5(C(2)), δ 158.1(C(6)), while for the O-allyl-protected compound 11a the C(2) and $C(6)$ signals are shifted downfield $(\delta 160.1(C(2)) 162.7(C(6)))$ (see Table 1). Hence, the dpc residues of compound 13a are connected to the ring N-atoms. As in related nucleosides such as 2'-deoxyuridine or 6-aza-2'-deoxyuridine the protection of the lactam N-atoms by acyl protecting groups was successfully employed in oligonucleotide synthesis $[24]$, the synthetic route with compound $13a$ was continued. The latter was treated with $(MeO)_2$ Tr-Cl in pyridine affording the 4,4'-dimethoxytrityl derivative 14 in 74% yield. To circumvent the side reaction of 3 with dpc–Cl on OH groups, the 5'-hydroxy group of 3 was protected first with the (MeO)). Tr residue resulting in the (MeO) τ Tr derivative 15. Then compound 15 was treated with dpc–Cl affording compound 14 (95% yield). Subsequently, the phosphoramidite 16 was prepared by using 14 and 2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite (Scheme 2). Finally, the dpc-protected phosphoramidite 16 was employed in solid-phase oligonucleotide synthesis which resulted in coupling yields similar to those of the allyl-protected phosphoramidite **7** (see *Sect.* 2). However, also in this case, we were not able to obtain a clean deprotection product from the oligonucleotide (25% aqueous NH₃ solution, 60°).

Due to the difficulties encountered during the removal of the allyl and dpc groups from 7-deazaxanthine oligonucleotides, the 2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl (npe) group was selected for the protection of the oxo functionalities of 3. It is a β -eliminating group requiring a strong base (DBU=1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene) to achieve deprotection. Earlier, this group was used by Pfleiderer and co-workers for the protection of 2'-deoxyguanosine [25]. The first solid-phase oligonucleotide synthesis with 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) which was performed by Eritja and co-workers used mono npe-protected, i.e., 6-O-[2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl]-protected phosphoramidite [9]. As this resulted in low coupling yields and by-products, Herdewijn and co-workers prepared the 2,6-bis-O-npe-protected phosphotriester which was applied to oligonucleotide solution synthesis [26]. Later, Battersby and co-workers reported the synthesis of the 2,6 bis-O-npe-protected phosphoramidite of 1 in which the 2-oxo group was protected with the npe residue by using npe–I and Ag_2CO_3 (*Königs–Knorr* conditions) [10]. Also, the 2,6-bis-O-npe-protected phosphoramidite of 1 was prepared by the *Mitsunobu* reaction [4]. The Mitsunobu conditions were now used to synthesize the bis-O-npe-protected

phosphoramidite 9 (Scheme 3). For this, 7-deaza-2'-deoxyguanosine (c^7G_d) [27] was chosen as a starting material. In the initial step, the 3',5'-hydroxy groups of c^7G_d were blocked by acetylation with Ac_2O in pyridine affording compound 17 (88% yield). Next, the 2-amino group of 17 was protected with the 4,4'-dimethoxytrityl group to give 18. When the *Mitsunobu* reaction was performed with 18 and 2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethanol in the presence of $DEAD/PPh_3$ in dioxane, the (MeO). Tr residue was cleaved from the 2-amino function, and compound 19 was isolated in only 23% yield. Therefore, the Mitsunobu reaction was directly applied to the 3',5'-di-O-acetyl-protected compound 17 in THF yielding the 6-O-protected nucleoside 19 (55%). The amino group of compound 19 was transformed to an OH group with $\text{NaNO}_2/\text{AcOH}$ in H₂O/acetone furnishing compound 20. Next, a second *Mitsunobu* reaction with 20 gave the fully protected nucleoside 21. Treatment of 21 with methanolic $NH₃$ yielded the 2,6-bis-O-[2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl]-protected 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine 22. Then, compound 22 was protected with the (MeO) ₂Tr residue to give the derivative 23. Subsequent treatment of 23 with 2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite afforded the phosphoramidite 9. The position of the npe residue of compound 22 was established by ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy. According to *Table 1*, the chemical-shifts of $C(2)$ and $C(6)$ of the npe-protected compound 22 appear at almost the same δ as observed for the di-O-allyl nucleoside 11a (22: $\delta 160.2(C(2))$, $\delta 162.8(C(6))$; 11a: $\delta 160.1(C(2))$, $162.7(C(6))$). Hence the npe residues of 22 protect the oxo functions.

To compare the applicability of the npe-protected phosphoramidite 9 in the oligonucleotide synthesis, we prepared the 2,6-O-unprotected phosphoramidite 6 as well.

Compound ^b) ^c)	C(2) ^d C(2) ^d	$C(4)^d$ $C(6)^{d}$	C(4a) C(5)	C(6) C(8)	C(7a) C(4)	C(5) C(7)	C(1')	C(2')	C(3')	C(4')	C(5')
$11b^e$	159.9	160.4	116.8	140.9	153.0	$\qquad \qquad -$	83.3	\mathfrak{t}	70.6	87.7	61.6
$12b^{\circ}$)	159.8	160.5	117.2)	152.8	-	83.7	f)	70.7	86.0	64.3
11a	160.1	162.7	100.3	122.6	153.0	99.0	82.8	f)	71.3	87.2	61.9
12a	160.0	162.6	100.4	g)	152.9	98.9	83.0	f)	70.8	85.3	64.3
13a	151.1	157.6	107.3)	141.5	99.7	83.1	f)	70.8	87.5	61.7
13b	151.0	157.7	107.2	g)	141.4	99.9	82.9	\mathbf{f}	70.7	84.2	65.8
$3h$)	150.4	159.5	99.4	117.6	138.0	103.1	85.5	\mathbf{f}	70.8	87.3	61.4
$13ci$)	151.5	158.1	101.0	117.7	138.0	103.5	84.7	f)	70.2	87.4	61.4
14	151.1	158.0	107.3	g)	141.5	99.9	82.7	f)	70.6	85.5	64.0
15	151.1	159.6	99.0	115.9	138.9	103.7	83.1	f)	70.0	85.2	63.6
17	152.8	158.6	100.1	116.3	150.9	102.9	80.8	35.7	74.6	82.3	63.8
18	157.6	158.3	100.5	116.8	149.8	102.6	80.7	36.4	74.5	83.0	63.6
19	159.6	162.3	97.1	119.0	154.7	99.7	80.7	35.5	74.6	82.2	63.8
20	160.3	163.3	98.7	120.5	\mathbf{j}	99.9	80.9	35.6	74.5	82.7	63.7
21	160.3	162.9	99.5	122.6	153.2	100.5	80.9	35.5	74.3	83.1	63.7
22	160.2	162.8	99.0	122.5	153.0	100.2	82.7	\mathbf{r}	71.0	87.2	61.9
23	160.2	162.8	99.0	122.6	152.9	100.4	82.9	\mathbf{r}	70.7	85.3	64.2

Table 1.¹³C-NMR Chemical Shifts of 2'-Deoxyxanthosine and 7-Deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine Derivatives^a)

^a) Measured in (D_6) DMSO at 25°. b) First heading row = systematic numbering. ^c) Second heading row = purine numbering. ^d) Tentative. ^e) Only purine numbering is used. ^f) Superimposed by (D_6) DMSO. ^g) Overlapped with aromatic signals. ^h) [8] i) [23] ^j) Not detected.

For this compound 15 was treated with 2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite in the presence of diisopropyl(ethyl)amine which afforded the phosphoramidite 6 (Scheme 4).

The structures of all new compounds were confirmed by ${}^{1}H$ -, ${}^{13}C$ -, and ${}^{31}P$ -NMR spectroscopy as well as by elemental analyses (see Table 1 and Exper. Part). The ¹³C-NMR chemical-shift assignment was made by means of gated-decoupled 13C-NMR spectra as well as by comparison with the other related 7-deazapurine nucleosides [8] [23]. The assignments for $C(2)$ and $C(6)$ are tentative. The assignment of $C(1')$ and $C(4')$ are based on the difference of the $^1J(C,H)$ coupling constants, which are larger for $C(1')$ than for $C(4')$ [28].

2. Synthesis and Characterization of Oligonucleotides 26–32. For the 12-mer-duplex studies based on the reference duplex 24.25 (see *Sect. 3*), the oligonucleotides $26-40$ were needed. Oligonucleotide syntheses were carried out at a 1-umol scale following the synthesis protocol for phosphoramidite chemistry. The phosphoramidites 6-9 and 16 were used together with those of the phosphoramidites of canonical DNA constituents as well as that of the pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine nucleoside 4 [29]. The coupling yields of the oligonucleotide samples $26 - 32$ are displayed in Table 2. From this it is apparent that the coupling steps of the modified bases proceed with a similar efficiency as those of the canonical phosphoramidites. The syntheses were performed with the (MeO) ₂Tr-on mode. The following protocols were employed: *i*) allyl protection, *ii*) npe-protection, and iii) diphenylcarbamoyl protection. The deallylation was performed on the solid-support-bound oligonucleotide with $[{\rm Pd}^0({\rm PPh}_3)_4]$ in the presence of ${\rm PPh}_3$,

i) Ac₂O, pyridine, overnight, r.t. ii) (MeO)₂Tr-Cl, pyridine, overnight, r.t. iii) PPh₃, DEAD (diethyl diazenedicarboxylate), 2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethanol, THF or dioxane, 1 h, r.t. iv) 30% aq. AcOH/acetone 2:1, NaNO₂, 2 h, r.t. v) NH₃/MeOH, 2 h, r.t. vi) (MeO)₂Tr-Cl, pyridine, 1 h, r.t. vii) 2-Cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite, (i-Pr)₂NEt, CH₂Cl₂, 30 min, r.t.

Table 2. Coupling Yields, Deprotection Conditions, and Molecular Masses of Oligonucleotides Obtained with Various Phosphoramidites

and HCOOH/butylamine 1:1 as scavenger in anhydrous THF [17] [18] (for details, see Exper. Part). The excess of the reagent was removed with 5M aq. N,N-diethyldithiocarbamate (=diethylcarbamodithioate=DDTC). For the npe-group removal, the solidsupported oligonucleotides were treated with 0.5M DBU in pyridine. The solid-support-bound oligonucleotides obtained by the allyl or the npe deprotection were then deprotected in 25% aqueous NH_3 solution at 60°. This condition was also directly applied to the dpc-protected oligonucleotides. The (MeO) ₂Tr-protected oligomers were purified by reversed-phase HPLC. The $(MeO)_2$ Tr-residues were removed with 2.5% dichloroacetic acid in CH₂Cl₂ followed by reversed-phase HPLC ($RP-18$) purification.

The allyl protocol *i*) was successfully employed for the oligonucleotides 27 and 28 containing 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) while the deprotection of the O-allyl-protected 7 deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine residues failed $(\rightarrow 26$ in mixture). As the 7-deazapurine nucleobases have a significantly lower redox potential than the corresponding purine compounds, destructive oxidation by a Pd^{II} procedure during the deallylation is the most likely process causing this failure. The npe deprotection protocol ii) was successfully applied for the oligonucleotides 30 and 31 containing 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3) as it was reported for 2'-deoxyxanthosine [4] [10]. The dpc deprotection protocol iii) which was applied only to oligonucleotides containing 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3) failed as the protecting groups could not be removed from the protected precursor of oligomer 29.

Next the oligonucleotides were characterized by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Table 2). Correct masses (reflector mode) were found for the oligonucleotides 27 and 28 containing 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) prepared *via* allyl protection (*Table 2*). In both oligonucleotides, apart from the main peaks, mass peaks with low intensity were observed which corresponds to the release of one or two xanthine bases and the addition of one or two Na^+ ions. The depurination of the xanthine moiety is expected as $2'$ deoxyxanthosine is susceptible to acidic conditions (matrix used for MALDI-TOF: 3 hydroxypicolinic acid).

The mixture obtained from the allyl removal expected to give oligonucleotide 26 containing compound 3 showed masses of 3694, 3713, and 3731 Da. While the low mass corresponds to the deprotected molecule 26, the higher masses represent molecules which were oxidized (addition of 19 and 37 Da with respect to the deprotected 26). The complex reaction mixtures obtained by the deprotection of the dpc groups expected to give oligomer 29 containing compound 3 gave MALDI-TOF spectra with masses of the deprotected oligomer 29 as well as of partially deprotected compounds. Correct masses were obtained for oligonucleotides 30 and 31 containing compound 3 prepared by the npe protection. No additional peaks caused by 'depurination' or oxidation were detected. This results from the high glycosylic-bond stability of compound 3 under acidic conditions. Similar observations have been already reported for oligonucleotides incorporating 7-deaza-2'-deoxyadenosine or 7-deaza-2'-deoxyguanosine residues which are also not susceptible to 'depurination' [30]. As these nucleosides could be used as surrogates for the canonical purine compounds in enzyme catalyzed DNA incorporation, the sensitivity of MALDI-TOF spectroscopy was significantly increased by using these modified analogs [30]. Thus, the 2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl (npe) group proves to be an ideal protecting group for the protection of 2,6-dioxo functions of 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3), while the allyl group represents an orthogonal protecting group for 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) and not for 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3).

Also, the composition of the oligonucleotides containing 1 and 3 was determined by reversed-phase HPLC (RP-18) after tandem hydrolysis with snake-venom phosphodiesterase followed by alkaline phosphatase in $0.1M$ Tris \cdot HCl buffer (pH 8.3) (Fig. 1, *Exper. Part*). According to *Fig. 1* the canonical as well as the modified residues were detected. From the peak area, the nucleoside ratio was determined which was in agreement with the composition of the oligonucleotides. On the lipophilic reversed-phase column, 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3) shows a lower mobility than that of 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1), which corresponds to its increased hydrophobic character.

3. Base Pairing of Oligonucleotides. Next, the duplex stability of the oligonucleotides was investigated. Oligonucleotides containing 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) have been reported, and their base pairing was discussed [9] [10]. As nothing is known about the duplex stability of oligonucleotides containing 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3), we studied this behavior with respect to the 12-mer duplex 5'-d(TAG GTC AAT ACT) $(24) \cdot 3'$ -d(ATC CAG TTA TGA) (25) which is used as reference in most of

Fig. 1. Reversed-phase HPLC profiles after the enzymatic hydrolysis of a) oligonucleotide 28 containing 1 and b) oligonucleotide 30 containing 3 with snake-venom phosphodiesterase and alkaline phosphatase in 0.1M Tris·HCl buffer (pH 8.3). Eluent: A, flow rate 0.7 ml/min $(A=0.1M$ (Et₃NH)OAc (pH 7.0)/MeCN 95:5).

our studies [29]. In the first strand, 24, one dA residue located near the centre of the molecule was replaced by compounds 3 or by 1 for comparison. The second strand, 25, was modified in such a way that the four canonical bases were located opposite to compound 1 or 3. The T_m values are given in Table 3.

According to *Table 3*, the incorporation of the nucleosides 1 or 3 opposite to the four canonical nucleosides resulted in a destabilization of the duplex structure compared to unmodified duplex 24 · 25. All T_m values are now in a similar range. Nevertheless, the melting temperatures are higher when 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3) is located opposite to dT or dG or dA than to dC. A similar behavior was observed for oligonucleotide duplexes containing 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1), except that 1 shows a lower T_m opposite to dA (*Table 3*). This melting behavior fulfils the requirements of universal nucleosides. The T_m values of duplexes containing a classical universal nucleoside, namely 5-nitro-1H-indole 2'-deoxy- β -D-ribofuranoside (N) which solely contributes base stacking to duplex stability are shown for comparison [31] [32]. Other universal nucleosides, namely 2'-deoxyinosine as well as 7-deaza-2'-deoxyinosine [33] which are related to compounds 1 and 3 show a similar behavior. However, the base pairs of the 2'-deoxyinosines with dC are always stronger than those with the other canonical residues [33]. The T_m decrease of duplexes within universal base pairs formed by 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) and 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3) compared to 2'-deoxyinosine [33] can be explained by the spatial demand of the 2-oxo group. Related observation were already made on $dA \cdot dT$ base pairs substituted with halogen atoms at the 2-position.

Possible base pairs of 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine with dG, dA, and dC (motif **I, II,** and III resp.) are depicted in Fig. 2. Pairing motifs for 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) have

Duplexes	$T_{\rm m}$ $[\degree]$	$\Delta T_{\rm m}$ $[\degree]$	ΔG°_{310} [kcal/mol]	ΔS° [cal/K mol]	ΔH° [kcal/mol]
5'-d(TAG GTC AAT ACT)-3' (24) 3'-d(ATC CAG TTA TGA)-5' (25)	47	$\mathbf{0}$	-10.6	-253	-89
5'-d(TAG GTC A1T ACT)-3' (28) 3'-d(ATC CAG TTA TGA)-5' (33)	42	-5	-9.1	-232	-81
5'-d(TAG GTC A1T ACT)-3' (28) 3'-d(ATC CAG TGA TGA)-5' (34)	40	-7	-8.6	-209	-73
5'-d(TAG GTC A1T ACT)-3' (28) 3'-d(ATC CAG TAA TGA)-5' (35)	39	$-\,8$	-8.2	-226	-78
5'-d(TAG GTC A1T ACT)-3' (28) 3'-d(ATC CAG TCA TGA)-5' (36)	36	-11	-7.2	-196	-68
5'-d(TAG GTC A3T ACT)-3' (31) 3'-d(ATC CAG TTA TGA)-5' (33)	42	-5	-10.3	-208	-75
5'-d(TAG GTC A3T ACT)-3' (31) 3'-d(ATC CAG TGA TGA)-5' (34)	40	-7	$\!-10.0$	-348	-118
5'-d(TAG GTC A3T ACT)-3' (31) 3'-d(ATC CAG TAA TGA)-5' (35)	43	-4	-10.7	-206	-75
5'-d(TAG GTC A3T ACT)-3' (31) 3'-d(ATC CAG TCA TGA)-5' (36)	38	-9	-9.3	-226	-80
5'-d(TAG GTC ANT ACT)-3' (37) 3'-d(ATC CAG TTA TGA)-5' (33)	$42b$)	-5	-8.7	-173	-63
5'-d(TAG GTC ANT ACT)-3' (37) 3'-d(ATC CAG TGA TGA)-5' (34)	41 ^b	-6	-8.2	-163	-59
5'-d(TAG GTC ANT ACT)-3' (37) 3'-d(ATC CAG TAA TGA)-5' (35)	(44 ^b)	-3	-8.9	-181	-65
5'-d(TAG GTC ANT ACT)-3' (37) 3'-d(ATC CAG TCA TGA)-5' (36)	$45b$)	-2	-9.6	-210	-75
5'-d(TAG GTC A1T ACT)-3' (28) 3'-d(ATC CAG T4A TGA)-5' (38)	47	$\boldsymbol{0}$	-11.6	-237	-85
5'-d(TAG GTC A3T ACT)-3' (31) 3'-d(ATC CAG T4A TGA)-5' (38)	46	-1	-10.9	-192	-71
5'-d(TAG G1C AA1 ACT)-3' (27) 3'-d(ATC C4G TT4 TGA)-5' (39)	49	$+2$	-11.9	-211	-77
5'-d(TAG G3C AA3 ACT)-3' (30) 3'-d(ATC C4G TT4 TGA)-5' (39)	49	$+2$	-12.1	-199	-74
5'-d(TAG GTC AAT ACT)-3' (40) 3'-d(ATC C4G TT4 TGA)-5' (39)	59	$+12$	-13.9	c)	c)

Table 3. T_m Values and Thermodynamic Data of Oligonucleotide Duplexes Containing 2'-Deoxyxanthosine (1) and 7-Deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine $(3)^a$)

^a) Measured in 100 mm NaCl, 10 mm MgCl₂, and 10 mm Na-cacodylate (pH 7.0) with 5 μ m single-strand concentration. b) Measured in 1M NaCl, 100 mm MgCl₂, and 60 mm Na-cacodylate (pH 7.0) with 5 μ m single-strand concentration, $N = 5$ -nitro-1 H-indole 2'-deoxy- β -D-ribofuranoside [32]. \degree) Not reported [29].

already been reported [9] [34]. Similar modes are expected for 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3). However, as we observed a significant T_m increase when compound 3 pairs with dA (Table 3), a change from the Watson-Crick to the Hoogsteen mode might be the reason for this behavior (Fig. 2, motif IV).

Fig. 2. Base-pair motifs for compounds 3 (X = CH) or 1 (X = N) with dG, dC, and dA

The oxo groups play an important role in the properties and functions of 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) or its 7-deaza analogue 3. The excellent review of Shugar and co-workers has already discussed many aspects of the special properties of the xanthine and the xanthosine molecule [34]. While all canonical nucleosides are neutral under physiological conditions, the xanthosine exists predominantly as monoanion. The pK_a value refers to the deprotonation of $H-N(3)$ leading to a mesomeric stabilized 2-oxo anion of compound 1. According to its pK_a of 5.7, ca. 95% of the 2'-deoxyxanthosine molecules are monoanions at pH 7.0. Thus it was suggested that not the neutral molecule but the monoanion contributes to base pairing. The situation becomes even more complicated as two different motifs can be formed due to the donor and acceptor pattern of the molecules involved in base pairing. Fig. 3 shows possible base-pair motifs for $2'$ -deoxyxanthosine (1) as well as for 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3) with dT within duplex DNA.

Earlier, we determined a pK_a value of 7.2 for 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3). A recent experiment changed this to 6.7. This value is 1 pK_a unit higher than that of 1 $(pK_a 5.7)$, with the consequence that significantly less molecules are monoanions at pH 7.0 in the case of 3. The single-crystal X-ray structure of the neutral 7-deaza-2' deoxyxanthosine (3) has been reported, which was crystallized under slightly acidic conditions [35]. Consequently, we measured the T_m values of the duplex 31 · 33 containing one $3 \cdot dT$ base pair at three different pH values (pH 5.5, 7.0, and 8.0) under the conditions described in *Table 3*. The T_m value of **31** · **33** (42°) at pH 7.0 was not changed either under alkaline or acidic conditions. This is in contrast to the results observed for a related molecule with a similar pK_a value (6-aza-2'-deoxyuridine, pK_a 6.8). A significant increase of the T_m value was observed for oligonucleotide-duplex formation when changing from neutral to acidic conditions [24]. However, the 2-oxo group

Fig. 3. Base-pair motifs for compounds 3 (X=CH) or 1 (X=N) with dT

might not be directly involved in H-bonding as shown in the motifs **VI** and **VIII** (*Fig. 3*); thus, this behavior becomes understandable.

Oligonucleotides containing the nucleosides 1 and 3 were also hybridized with those incorporating the diamine nucleoside $4(3\textrm{-}b$ or $-1\textrm{-}(2\textrm{-}deoxy-\beta\textrm{-}b\textrm{-}erythro\textrm{-}p$ entofuranosyl)-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine-4,6-diamine) [29]. A single incorporation of 1 against 4 in the place of the $dA \cdot dT$ pair stabilized the duplex 28 \cdot 38 to the level of the unmodified duplex 24.25 (T_m 47^o) and hence, base pair 1.4 is as stable as the $dA \cdot dT$ base pair. Two modifications enhanced the stability to 49 \degree (duplex 27 \cdot 39) showing the nearest neighbor-influence on the base-pair stability. Also, a similar experiment was carried out with 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3). The corresponding duplex $31 \cdot 38$ showed a similar T_m (46°). Also two incorporations of 3 in duplex 30 · 39 resulted in the same T_m increase (49°) as that observed for 1. This T_m increase results mainly from the Br-substitution and not from the presence of the additional 2-amino function. This was already verified earlier in related duplex structures [29]. The higher stability of the $dA \cdot dT$ base pair including that of a 'pyrazolo-dA' with dT than that of dA with compound 1 or 3 might result from a distortion of the helix axis by the purine \cdot purine pair. On the other hand, the larger surface area of 'purine' residues can cause better stacking. It stays to proof if duplexes containing the base pair motif \mathbf{IX} (Fig. 4) exclusively are more stable than those formed by $dA \cdot dT$ pairs.

Conclusions. – Among various groups (allyl, diphenylcarbamoyl, 2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl) studied for the oxo-group protection of the phosphoramidite of 7-deaza-2' deoxyxanthosine (3) only the 2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl (npe) group was found to be effective. It was removed from CPG-bound oligonucleotide by DBU in pyridine. The allyl group which works efficiently for the protection of 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) caused difficulties in the case of 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3) due to the oxidation of the 7-deazapurine base in the presence of Pd-catalyst. Although it was possible to incorporate

Fig. 4. Base-pair motifs suggested for compounds 3 (X=CH) or 1 (X=N) with 4 and dT with 4

one 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine residue without base protection in a moderate yield (see 32 in Table 2), multiple incorporations failed. Oligonucleotides containing several 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3) residues required oxo-group protecting, preferentially by the npe residue. Compound 3 can act as universal nucleoside as it forms almost equally stable base pairs with the canonical DNA constituents as reported for 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) or the corresponding 2'-deoxyinosine derivatives. According to its pK_a value (6.7), a significant amount of 3 forms a monoanion at pH 7.0 in the monomeric state as well as when it is part of the oligonucleotide chain. Surprisingly, no change of the duplex stability was observed when the pH value was changed from 5.5 to 8.0. As the related 6-aza-2'-deoxyuridine (pK_a =6.8) shows a significant T_m change, it is concluded that the 2-oxo group of nucleoside 3 does not participate in the base pairing. The nucleosides 1 and 3 pair with 3-bromo-1-(2-deoxy- β -D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)-1H-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidine-4,6-diamine (4) resulting in duplexes which are as stable as those containing $dA \cdot dT$ pairs. This results from the influence of the Br-substituents and not from the additional amino group as it was already demonstrated with compounds incorporating base pairs of 4 with dT [29]. It is expected that duplexes are further stabilized when the nucleoside 3 bears 7-halogen or 7-alkynyl substituents [36].

Experimental Part

1. General. All chemicals were purchased from Aldrich, Sigma, or Fluka (Sigma-Aldrich Chemie GmbH, Deisenhofen, Germany). Solvents were of laboratory grade. TLC: aluminium sheets, silica gel 60 F_{254} 0.2-mm layer (VWR, Germany). Column flash chromatography (FC): silica gel 60 (VWR, Germany) at 0.4 bar; sample collection with an UltroRac-II fractions collector (LKB Instruments, Sweden). Melting temp.: Cary-1/3-UV/VIS spectrophotometer (Varian, Australia) equipped with a Cary thermoelectrical controller; continuous temp. measurement in the reference cell with a Pt-100 resistor. UV Spectra: U-3200 spectrometer (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan); $\lambda_{\text{max}}(\varepsilon)$ in nm. NMR Spectra: Avance-250 or AMX-500 spectrometers (*Bruker*, Karlsruhe, Germany), at 250.13 MHz for ¹H and ¹³C; δ in ppm relative to Me₄Si as internal standard or external 85% H_3PQ_4 for ${}^{31}P$, *J* values in Hz. Elemental analyses were performed by the Mikroanalytisches Laboratorium Beller (Göttingen, Germany).

2. Synthesis, Deprotection, and Purification of the Oligonucleotides. The oligonucleotide syntheses were carried out in an ABI-392-08-DNA synthesizer (Applied Biosystems, Weiterstadt, Germany) on a 1-mmol scale following the synthesis protocol for 3'-cyanoethyl phosphoramidites (user manual for the 392-DNA synthesizer, Applied Biosystems, Weiterstadt, Germany). The coupling efficiency was always higher than 95%. The following deprotection protocols were used for the deprotection of the oligonucleotides.

i) Deprotection of O-Allyl-Protected Oligonucleotides with a Pd^0 Complex Followed by Treatment with 25% Aqueous NH₃ Solution. After the completion of the oligonucleotide synthesis, the columns were washed with Ar-flushed THF and disassembled. The supported oligonucleotides were treated at r.t. with a THF soln. of $[Pd^{0}(PPh_{3})_{4}]$ (*ca.* 3 equiv./allylic group), PPh₃ (*ca.* 25 equiv./allylic group), and excess of allyl scavenger HCOOH/butylamine 1:1 (1.5 ml) for 30 min at r.t. [17] [18] [37]. Then the mixture was centrifuged, and the soln. was discarded. The supports were washed with THF, acetone, 5M aq. DDTC, and finally with distilled H₂O ($2 \times$ each). The deprotection of other base-protecting groups was achieved by 25% NH₃ soln. as described below.

ii) Deprotection of O-npe-Protected Oligonucleotides with DBU in Pyridine Followed by Treatment with 25% Aqueous NH₃ Solution. Solid-supported oligonucleotides were treated with 0.5M DBU in pyridine at r.t. for 24 h [9] [25]. The solvent was evaporated in a *Speed-Vac* evaporator, and the residue was treated with 25% aq. NH₃ soln. under standard conditions.

The oligonucleotides obtained by the treatment with $Pd⁰$ or DBU were cleaved from the solid support and treated with 25% aq. NH₃ soln. at 60° for 14-16 h. The oligonucleotides without 2'-deoxyxanthosine (1) and 7-deaza-2'-deoxyxanthosine (3) residues were deprotected only in 25% aq. NH₃ soln. at 60° for 14 – 16 h. The completely deprotected oligomers were purified by HPLC. Purification of 5'-dimethoxytrityl oligomers was performed by reversed-phase HPLC (RP-18) with the following solvent gradient system: $A = 0.1M$ (Et₃NH)OAc (pH 7.0)/MeCN 95:5; $B = \text{MeCN}$; 3 min 20% B in A, 15 min 50% B in A, and 25 min 20% B in A, with a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min. The soln. was dried and treated with 2.5% CHCl₂COOH/CH₂Cl₂ for 5 min at 0° to remove the 4,4'-dimethoxytrityl residues. The detritylated oligomers were purified by reversed-phase HPLC with the gradient: within 20 min $0 \rightarrow 20\%$ B in A, with a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min. The oligomers were desalted $(RP-18, \text{ silica gel})$ and lyophilized on a Speed-Vac evaporator to yield colorless solids which were frozen at -24° .

The enzymatic hydrolysis of the oligonucleotides was performed as described by Seela and Becher [29] with snake-venom phosphodiesterase (EC 3.1.15.1, Crotallus adamanteus) and alkaline phosphatase (EC 3.1.3.1, Escherichia coli from Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Germany) in 0.1M Tris·HCl buffer (pH 8.3), which was carried out on reversed-phase HPLC (0-20 min A, $A = 0.1M$ (Et₃NH)OAc (pH 7.0)/MeCN 95 : 5). Quantification of the constituents was made on the basis of the peak areas, which were divided by the extinction coefficients of the nucleosides ((ϵ_{260}) : dT 8800, dC 7300, dA 15400, dG 11700, 1 8400, 3 4800). The molecular masses of the oligonucleotides were determined by MALDI-TOF Biflex-III mass spectrometer (Bruker-Saxonia, Leipzig, Germany) with 3-hydroxypicolinic acid (3-HPA) as a matrix.

7-[2-Deoxy-b-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]-2,4-bis(prop-2-enyloxy)-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine (11a). A suspension of 10a $[20]$ (500 mg, 0.92 mmol) in 1M sodium prop-2-enolate (50 ml) was stirred at 50° for 3 h. The soln. was cooled to r.t. and applied on the top of the column (silica gel, 2.5×15 cm, CH₂Cl₂ (\rightarrow prop-2-en-1-ol), then CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 8:2): **11a** (240 mg, 84%) Colorless solid. TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 8:2): R_f 0.28. UV (MeOH): 259 (5000), 271 (5000). ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 2.22 $(m, H_a-(2'))$; 2.49 $(m, H_a-(2'))$; 3.51 $(m, CH₂(5'))$; 3.81 $(m, H-C(4'))$; 4.35 $(m, H-C(3'))$; 4.83–4.99 $(m, 2 \text{ CH}_2, \text{OH}-\text{C}(5'))$; 5.22–5.44 $(m, 2=\text{CH}_2, \text{OH}-\text{C}(3'))$; 6.07 $(m, 2=\text{CH})$; 6.47 $(m, \text{H}-\text{C}(1'), \text{H}-$ C(5)); 7.40 (d, J = 3.71, H – C(6)). Anal. calc. for C₁₇H₂₁N₃O₅ (347.37): C 58.78, H 6.09, N 12.10; found: C 58.92, H 6.04, N 12.02.

7-[2-Deoxy-5-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-b-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]-2,4-bis(prop-2-enyloxy)-7H-pyr- $\frac{rolo[2,3-d]$ *pyrimidine* (12a). Compound 11a (790 mg, 2.27 mmol) was dried by co-evaporation with anh. pyridine $(2 \times 10 \text{ ml})$ and dissolved in anh. pyridine (30 ml). To this soln. was added 4,4'-dimethoxytrityl chloride (1.149 mg, 3.405 mmol) while stirring, and stirring was continued for 3 h. The mixture was then partitioned between H₂O and CH₂Cl₂, the org. layer washed with H₂O and brine (each 2×50 ml), the solvent evaporated and the residue subjected to FC (silica gel, 3×12 cm, CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 95:5): 12a (880 mg, 59%). Colorless solid. TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 97.5:2.5): R_f 0.41. UV (MeOH): 273 (11500). ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 2.23 (m, H_a-C(2')); 2.59 (m, H_β-C(2')); 3.14 (m, CH₂(5')); 3.71 $(2s, 2 \text{ MeO}); 3.94 (m, H-C(4'))$; 4.38 $(m, H-C(3'))$; 4.80 $(m, CH₂)$; 4.97 $(d, J=5.46, CH₂)$; 5.25-5.45 $(m, 2=CH_2, OH-C(3'))$; 6.08 $(m, 2=CH)$; 6.46 $(m, H-C(1'), H-C(5))$; 6.78-6.84 $(m, \text{arom. H})$; 7.21 – 7.25 (*m*, arom. H); 7.34 – 7.37 (*m*, H – C(6), arom. H). Anal. calc. for $C_{38}H_{39}N_{3}O_{7}$ (649.73): C 70.25, H 6.05, N 6.47; found: C 70.18, H 5.94, N 6.39.

7-[2-Deoxy-5-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-b-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]-2,4-bis(prop-2-enyloxy)-7H-pyr- $\frac{1}{2}$ rolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine 3'-(2-Cyanoethyl Diisopropylphosphoramidite) (7). To a soln. of 12a (150 mg, 0.23 mmol) in anh. CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml), diisopropylethylamine ((i-Pr)₂EtN; 67 µl, 0.39 mmol) and 2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite (55.5 µl, 0.25 mmol) were added under Ar. After stirring for 30 min, 5% aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (25 ml) was added, and the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 25 ml). The org. layer was dried (Na₂SO₄), the solvent evaporated, and the residue subjected to FC (silica gel, 1.5×7 cm, CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 95:5): **7** (140 mg, 71%). Colorless foam. TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 97.5:2.5): R_f 0.71. $^{31}P\text{-NMR}$ (CDCl₃): 149.63; 149.96.

 $2'-Deoxy-2,6-bis-O-(prop-2-enyloxy)xanthosine (11b)$. As described for 11a, with 10b [20] (500 mg, 0.92 mmol) and 1M sodium prop-2-enolate (50 ml): 11b (210 mg, 75%). Colorless solid. TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1): R_f 0.47. UV (MeOH): 244 (8100), 265 (12200). ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 2.25 (*m*, $H_a-C(2')$; 2.73 (m, $H_a-C(2')$); 3.53 (m, CH₂(5')); 3.85 (m, H-C(4')); 4.41 (m, H-C(3')); 4.85 – 5.02 $(m, 2 \text{ CH}_2, \text{OH}-\text{C}(5'))$; 5.25 – 5.44 $(m, 2=\text{CH}_2, \text{OH}-\text{C}(3'))$; 6.09 $(m, 2=\text{CH})$; 6.31 $(m, \text{H}-\text{C}(1'))$; 8.37 $(s, H-C(8))$. Anal. calc. for C₁₆H₂₀N₄O₅ (348.35): C 55.17, H 5.79, N 16.08; found: C 55.20, H 5.90, N 16.02.

2'-Deoxy-5'-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-2,6-bis-O-(prop-2-enyloxy)xanthosine (12b). As described for 12a, with 11b (686 mg, 1.96 mmol) and 4,4'-dimethoxytrityl chloride (800 mg, 2.36 mmol): 12b (850 mg, 66%). Colorless solid. TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5): R_f 0.27. UV (MeOH): 265 (19700). ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 2.34 (m, H_a-(2')); 2.88 (m, H_β-(2')); 2.90 – 3.27 (m, CH₂(5')); 3.70, 3.72 (2s, 2 MeO); 4.00 $(m, H-C(4'))$; 4.48 $(m, H-C(3'))$; 4.67 $(m, CH₂)$; 5.02 $(d, J=2.61, CH₂)$; 5.23–5.47 $(m,$ $2=CH$, $OH-C(3')$; 6.01, 6.13 (m, $2=CH$); 6.36 (t, $J=6.09$, 6.34, $H-C(1')$); 6.71–6.79 (m, arom. H); 7.17 – 7.31 (m, arom. H); 8.28 (s, H – C(8)). Anal. calc. for $C_{37}H_{38}N_4O_7$ (650.72): C 68.29, H 5.89, N 8.61; found: C 68.38, H 6.00, N 8.76.

2'-Deoxy-5'-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-2,6-bis-O-(prop-2-enyloxy)xanthosine 3'-(2-Cyanoethyl Diisopropylphosphoramidite) (8). As described for 7, with $12b$ (300 mg, 0.46 mmol), anh. CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml), (i-Pr)₂EtN (134 µl, 0.78 mmol), and 2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite (111 µl, 0.50 mmol). Workup with 5% aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (30 ml) and CH₂Cl₂ (2 × 30 ml), followed by FC, as described for 7:8 (339 mg, 86%). Colorless foam. TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 95:5): R_f 0.60. ³¹P-NMR $(CDCl₃)$: 150.02; 150.19.

7-(2-Deoxy-b-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)-1,3-bis(diphenylcarbamoyl)-1,7-dihydro-2H-pyrrolo[2,3-d] pyrimidine-2,4(3H)-dione (13a) and 7-[2-Deoxy-5-O-(diphenylcarbamoyl)- β -D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]-1,3-bis(diphenylcarbamoyl)-1,7-dihydro-2H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2,4(3H)-dione (13b).

To a soln. $(7-(2-\text{decay}-\beta-\text{b}-\text{crypttro-pentofuranosyl})-1,7-\text{dihydro-2H-pyrrolo}[2,3-d]$ pyrimidine-2, 4(3H)-dione) [8] (3; 200 mg, 0.75 mmol) in anh. pyridine (5 ml) was (i-Pr)₂EtN (400 µl, 2.33 mmol) and diphenylcarbamic chloride (490 mg, 2.11 mmol) while stirring. After 100 min, 5% aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (60 ml) was added, and the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×50 ml). The combined org. phase was dried (Na₂SO₄), the solvent evaporated, and the residue co-evaporated with toluene (3 × 50 ml) and subjected to FC (silica gel, 10×3 cm, CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 85:15 (100 ml), then CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1 (400 ml)): less polar 13b (37 mg, 6%) and more polar 13a (364 mg, 74%). Data of 13b: Colorless foam. TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1): R_f 0.4. ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 2.12–1.97 (m, CH₂(2')); 4.01 $(m, H-C(4'))$; 4.32-4.24 $(m, H-C(3'))$, CH₂(5')); 5.47 $(d, J=4.2, OH-C(3'))$; 6.46 $(t, J=6.8, H C(1')$; 6.70 (d, J = 3.8, H – $C(5)$); 7.12 (d, J = 3.8, H – $C(6)$); 7.54 – 7.22 (m, arom. H).

Data of **13a**: TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1): R_f 0.2. UV (MeOH): 226 (48000). ¹H-NMR $((D_6)$ DMSO): 2.50 (br. s, CH₂(2')); 3.54 (m, CH₂(5')); 3.84 (br. s, H-C(4')); 4.38 (br. s, H-C(3')); 4.96 $(t, J=5.2, OH-C(5'))$; 5.35 (d, J=3.9, OH-C(3')); 6.54 (t, J=6.7, H-C(1')); 6.77 (d, J=3.5, H-C(5)); 7.51 – 7.32 (m, arom. H); 7.86 (d, J = 3.6, H – C(6)). Anal. calc. for $C_{37}H_{31}N_5O_7$ (657.67): C 67.57, H 4.75, N 10.65; found: C 67.37, H 4.91, N 10.30.

7-[2-Deoxy-5-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-b-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]-1,3-bis(diphenylcarbamoyl)-1,7 dihydro-2H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2,4(3H)-dione (14). Method A: Compound 15 (380 mg, 0.67 mmol) was dissolved in anh. pyridine (44 ml) while stirring at r.t. To this soln., $(i-Pr)_2EtN$ (325 μ l, 1.87 mmol) and diphenylcarbamic chloride (432 mg, 1.86 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred for 2.5 h at r.t. and then poured into ice-cold aq. of 5% NaHCO₃ soln. (80 ml). The mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2

 $(3 \times 50 \text{ ml})$, the combined org. phase dried (Na_2SO_4) , the solvent evaporated, and the residue co-evaporated with toluene (50 ml \times 3) and subjected to FC (silica gel, 10×3 cm, CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 98 : 2 (250 ml), then CH_2Cl_2/Me_2CO 95:5 (320 ml): 14 (608 mg, 95%). Colorless foam.

Method B: To a soln. of $13a$ (50 mg, 0.08 mmol) in anh. pyridine (6.4 ml) was added 4,4'-dimethoxytrityl chloride (123 mg, 0.36 mmol) while stirring at. r.t. After stirring for 2.5 h, the reaction was quenched with MeOH (3 ml) and 5% aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (30 ml) was added. The mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 $(3 \times 20 \text{ ml})$, the combined org. phase dried (Na_2SO_4) , the solvent evaporated, and the residue adsorbed on silica gel (10 g) and subjected to FC (silica gel, 10×1.5 cm, CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml), then CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 98 : 2 (100 ml), and CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95 : 5 (200 ml): 14 (54 mg, 74%). Colorless foam. TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/ MeOH 9:1): R_f 0.7. UV (MeOH): 226 (66600). ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 2.36–2.34 (m, H_a-(2')); 2.60 – 2.54 (m, H_0 – (2')); 3.16 (m, CH₂(5')); 3.68 (s, 2 MeO); 3.96 (m, H – C(4')); 4.39 (m, H – C(3')); 5.42 (d, J=4.5, OH–C(3')); 6.57 (t, J=6.8, H–C(1')); 6.74 (d, J=3.7, H–C(5)); 6.84–6.79 (m, arom H); 7.54 – 7.20 (m, arom. H); 7.67 (d, J = 3.6, H – C(6)). Anal. calc. for $C_{58}H_{49}N_5O_9$ (960.05): C 72.56, H 5.14, N 7.29; found: C 72.63, H 5.50, N 7.51.

7-[2-Deoxy-5-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-b-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)-1,7-dihydro-2H-pyrrolo[2,3-d] pyrimidin-2,4-(3H)-dione (15). Compound 3 [8] was dried by repeated co-evaporation of anh. pyridine and dissolved in anh. pyridine (65 ml). To this suspension, $4.4'$ -dimethoxytrityl chloride (1.25 g, 3.69) mmol) was added and stirred for 2.5 h at r.t. The reaction was quenched by adding MeOH (3 ml). To this soln., 5% aq. NaHCO₃ soln. was added (100 ml). The mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×50) ml), the org. phase dried ($Na₂SO₄$), the solvent evaporated, the residue dissolved in CH₂Cl₂, and the soln. adsorbed on silica gel $(10 g)$ and subjected to FC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 98:2 (350 ml), then CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1 (150 ml)): **15** (800 mg, 75%). Colorless foam. TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1): R_f 0.5. UV (MeOH): 235 (27400), 273 (9300). ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 2.27 (m, H_a-(2')); 2.42-2.39 $(m, H_{\beta}-(2'))$; 3.09 $(m, CH_2(5'))$; 3.73 (s, 2 MeO); 3.87 $(m, H-C(4'))$; 4.30 $(m, H-C(3'))$; 5.33 (d, $J=4.3$, OH-C(3')); 6.24 (t, J = 5.9, H-C(1')); 6.28 (d, J = 3.5, H-C(5)); 6.79 (d, J = 3.5, H-C(6)); 6.87 – 6.83 (m, arom. H); 7.36 – 7.20 (m, arom. H); 10.68 (s, NH); 11.71 (s, NH). Anal. calc. for $C_{32}H_{31}N_3O_7$ (569.60): C 67.48, H 5.49, N 7.38; found: C 67.29, H 5.61, N 7.32.

7-[2-Deoxy-5-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-b-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]-1,3-bis(diphenylcarbamoyl)-1,7 dihydro-2H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine-2,4(3H)-dione 3'-(2-Cyanoethyl Diisopropylphosphoramidite) (16) . As described for 7, with 14 (200 mg, 0.21 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml), (i-Pr)₂EtN (49 ul, 0.28 mmol), and 2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite (60 µl, 0.27 mmol) for 20 min. Workup with 5% aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (30 ml) and CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 20 ml) as described for 7. FC (silica gel, 7 × 1.5 cm, CH₂Cl₂/ $Me₂CO$ 98 : 2 (6 ml), then CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 95 : 5 (25 ml)) afforded **16** (230 mg, 95%). Colorless foam. TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 95:5): R_f 0.4. ³¹P-NMR (CDCl₃): 149.84; 150.01.

7-[2-Deoxy-5-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-b-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]-1,7-dihydro-2H-pyrrolo[2,3-d] pyrimidin-2,4(3H)-dione 3'-(2-Cyanoethyl Diisopropylphosphoramidite) (6). As described for 7, with 15 (390 mg, 0.68 mmol), anh. THF (5 ml) instead of CH₂Cl₂, (i-Pr)₂EtN (357 μ l, 2.05 mmol), and 2-cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite (200 µl, 0.90 mmol) for 20 min. After evaporation (no extraction), the residue was subjected to FC (silica gel, 10×1.5 cm, CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 9:1 (20 ml), then CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 4 : 1 (20 ml), and CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 1 : 1 (20 ml)). Evaporation (temp. $\langle 30^{\circ} \rangle$ of the main zone afforded 6 (150 mg, 28%). Colorless foam. TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 1:1): R_f 0.7. ³¹P-NMR (CDCl3): 149.57; 149.98.

2-Amino-7-(3,5-di-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-b-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)-3,7-dihydro-4H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyr*imidin-4-one* (17). To a suspension of 7-deaza-2'-deoxyguanosine (c^7G_d) [27] (2 g, 7.51 mmol) in anh. pyridine (35 ml) was added Ac₂O (3 ml, 31.7 mmol) and the mixture was stirred overnight at r.t. The reaction was quenched by additon of MeOH (1 ml). Then the mixture was poured into 5% aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (100 ml) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×100 ml), the combined org. phase dried (Na₂SO₄), the solvent evaporated, and the residue subjected to FC (silica gel, 5×12 cm, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1): **17** (2.31 g, 88%). Colorless solid. TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 9:1): R_f 0.42. UV (MeOH): 259 (14600). ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 2.05, 2.07 (2s, 2 MeCO); 2.33 $(m, H_a(2'))$; 2.68 $(m, H_b(2'))$; 4.18 $(m, H-C(4'))$, CH₂(5')); 5.21 $(d, J=5.25,$ $H-C(3')$; 6.03 (m, $H-C(1')$, $H-C(5)$, NH₂); 6.90 (d, $J=4.12$, $H-C(6)$); 10.42 (s, NH). Anal. calc. for $C_{15}H_{18}N_4O_6$ (350.33): C 51.43, H 5.18, N 15.99; found: C 51.50, H 5.14 N 15.86.

7-(3,5-Di-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-b-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)2-[(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)amino]-3,7-dihydro- $4H-pyrrolo[2,3-d/pyrimidin-4-one (18)$. To a soln. of 17 (2 g, 5.70 mmol) in anh. pyridine was added 4.4'dimethoxytrityl chloride (2.03 g, 6.0 mmol). After stirring overnight at r.t., the mixture was poured into 5% aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (100 ml) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×150 ml). The combined org. phase was dried (Na₂SO₄), the solvent evaporated, and the residue subjected to FC (silica gel, 5×12 cm, CH₂Cl₂/ (CH₃)₂CO 98 : 2, then 95 : 5): **18** (3.4 g, 91%). Colorless foam. TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95 : 5): R_f 0.51. UV (MeOH): 268 (15800), 285 (14600). ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 1.78 (m, H_a-(2')); 2.02, 2.08 (2s, 2 MeCO); 2.16 $(m, H_\beta-(2'))$; 3.71 (s, 2 MeO); 4.06 $(m, H-C(4'), CH_2(5'))$; 5.02 (d, J = 5.35, H-C(3')); 5.56 (dd, J = 5.57, 5.42, H – C(1')), 6.24 (d, J = 3.55, H – C(5)); 6.73 (d, J = 3.57, H – C(6)); 6.73 – 7.33 (m, arom. H); 7.42 (s, NH); 10.40 (s, NH). Anal. calc. for $C_{36}H_{36}N_4O_8$ (652.69): C 66.25, H 5.56, N 8.58; found: C 66.31, H 5.62, N 8.60.

2-Amino-7-(3,5-di-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-b-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)-4-O-[2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl]-7Hpyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine (19). Method A: A soln. of 18 (2 g, 3.06 mmol) in abs. dioxane (10 ml) was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in abs. dioxane (20 ml) , and to this soln. were added PPh₃ (6.4 ml) g, 24.8 mmol), 2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethanol (4.12 g, 24.8 mmol) and DEAD (4.23 g, 24.8 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at. r.t. The solvent was evaporated and the residue subjected to FC (silica gel, 5×12 cm, Et₂O). The main fraction was rechromatographed: 19 (350 mg, 23%). Yellow foam.

Method B: To a soln. of 17 (3.5 g, 10.0 mmol) in anh. THF (75 ml) were added PPh₃ (8.4 g, 33.03) mmol), DEAD (5.82 g, 33.03 mmol), and 2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethanol (5.61 g, 33.03 mmol). After stirring for 1 h at. r.t., the mixture was worked up as described for *Method A*: **19** (2.75 g, 55%). Yellow foam. TLC (Et₂O): R_f 0.33. UV (MeOH): 261 (19100), 279 (16700). ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 2.04, 2.08 (2s, 2 MeCO); 2.34 $(m, H_a-(2'))$; 2.75 $(m, H_a-(2'))$; 3.21 $(t, J=6.45, 6.75, CH_2)$; 4.18 $(m, H-C(4'))$ $CH₂(5')$; 4.59 (t, J = 6.40, 6.55, OCH₂); 5.23 (d, J = 5.45, H – C(3')); 6.31 (m, H – C(1'), H – C(5), NH₂); 7.07 (d, $J=3.70$, H-C(6)); 7.60 (d, $J=8.50$, arom. H); 8.15 (d, $J=8.5$, arom. H). Anal. calc. for C₂₃H₂₅-N₅O₈ (499.47): C 55.31, H 5.05, N 14.02; found: C 55.40, H 5.00, N 13.96.

7-(3,5-Di-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-b-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)-4-O-[2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl]-1,7-dihydro- $2H-pyrrolo[2,3-d/pyrimidin-2-one (20)$. To a soln. of 19 (3 g, 6.0 mmol) in 30% aq. AcOH/Me₂CO 2 : 1, (375 ml) was added NaNO₂ (10 g, 140 mmol) in H₂O (25 ml). After stirring for 2 h at r.t., the solvent was evaporated and the residue subjected to FC (silica gel, 5×12 cm, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 99:1, then 97:3): **20** (2.25 g, 75%). Slightly yellow foam. TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5): R_f 0.38. UV (MeOH): 277 (17000). ¹H-NMR ((D₆)DMSO): 2.04, 2.08 (2s, 2 MeCO); 2.40 (m, H_a-(2')); 2.73 (m, H_β-(2')); 3.23 $(t, J=6.32, 6.42, CH₂)$; 4.22 (m, H-C(4'), CH₂(5')); 4.65 (t, J = 6.28, 6.40, OCH₂); 5.24 (d, J = 5.20, H- $C(3')$; 6.39 (m, H-C(1'), H-C(5)); 7.23 (d, J=2.82, H-C(6)); 7.60 (d, J=8.62, arom. H); 8.16 (d, $J=6.90$, arom. H); 11.43 (s, NH). Anal. calc. for $C_{23}H_{24}N_4O_9$ (500.46): C 55.20, H 4.83, N 11.20; found: C 55.38, H 4.85, N 11.15.

7-(3,5-Di-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-b-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)-2,4-bis-O-[2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl]-7H-pyr- $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{2}{3}$ -d/pyrimidine (21). As described for 19 (Method B), with 20 (1.6 g, 3.19 mmol), anh. THF (50 ml), PPh₃ (3.4 g, 13.0 mmol), DEAD (2.26 g, 13.0 mmol), and 2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethanol (2.17 g, 13.0 mmol): **21** (1.7 g, 82%). Yellow foam. TLC (Et₂O): R_f 0.5. UV (MeOH): 272 (32400). ¹H-NMR $((D_6)$ DMSO): 2.01, 2.08 (2s, 2 MeCO); 2.41 $(m, H_a-(2'))$; 2.88 $(m, H_a-(2'))$; 3.22 $(m, 2 \text{ CH}_2)$; 4.20 $(m, H-C(4'), CH₂(5'))$; 4.58 (t, J = 6.27, 6.25, OCH₂); 4.67 (t, J = 6.14, 6.42, OCH₂); 5.31 (d, J = 5.45, $H-C(3')$; 6.43 (m, $H-C(1')$, $H-C(5)$); 7.35 (d, $J=3.67$, $H-C(6)$); 7.59 (m, arom. H); 8.16 (m, arom. H). Anal. calc. for $C_{31}H_{31}N_5O_{11}$ (649.60): C 57.32, H 4.81, N 10.78; found: C 57.40, H 4.90, N 10.66.

7-(2-Deoxy-b-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl)-2,4-bis-O-[2-(4-nitrophenyl)ethyl]-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine (22). Compound 21 (1.5 g, 2.31 mmol) was dissolved in sat. NH₃/MeOH and stirred for 3 h at r.t. The solvent was evaporated and the residue subjected to FC (silica gel, 5×12 cm, CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5): 22 (1.19 g, 91%). Yellow foam. TLC (CH2Cl2/Me2CO, 8 : 2): R_f 0.45. UV (MeOH): 272 (26400). 1 H-NMR $((D₆)$ DMSO): 2.14 $(m, H_a-(2'))$; 2.44 $(m, H_a-(2'))$; 3.21 $(m, 2 \text{ CH}_2)$; 3.49 $(m, CH₂(5'))$; 3.80 $(m, H_a-(2'))$ $C(4')$; 4.33 (m, H-C(3')); 4.57 (t, J=6.27, 6.18, OCH₂); 4.66 (t, J=6.11, 6.18, OCH₂); 4.92 (t, J=4.74, 5.12, OH-C(5')); 5.29 (d, J=3.07, OH-C(3')); 6.39 (d, J=2.66, H-C(5)); 6.46 (t, J=7.04, 6.60, H-C(1')); 7.38 (d, J=2.90, H-C(6)); 7.60 (m, arom. H); 8.15 (m, arom. H). Anal. calc. for $C_{27}H_{27}N_5O_9$ (565.53): C 57.34, H 4.81, N 12.38; found: C 57.40, H 4.90, N 12.27.

7-[2-Deoxy-5-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-b-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]-2,4-bis-O-[2-(4-nitrophenyl) ethyll-7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine (23). To a soln. of 22 (200 mg, 0.35 mmol) in anh. pyridine (3 ml) was added 4,4'-dimethoxytrityl chloride (169 mg, 0.5 mmol) while stirring at r.t. After stirring for 3 h at r.t., 5% aq. NaHCO₃ soln. (50 ml) was added, and the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3×50 ml). The org. phase was dried (Na₂SO₄), the solvent evaporated, and the residue dissolved in CH₂Cl₂, adsorbed on silica gel (10 g), and subjected to FC (silica gel, 3×12 cm, CH_2Cl_2/Me_2CO 95:5): 23 (243 mg, 79%). Slightly yellow foam. TLC (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 95:5): R_f 0.75. UV (MeOH): 272 (34100). ¹H-NMR $((D_6)$ DMSO): 2.26 $(m, H_a - (2))$; 2.56 $(m, H_a - (2'))$; 3.12 – 3.22 $(m, 2 \text{ CH}_2, \text{CH}_2(5'))$; 3.69 (s, 2 MeO); $3.92 \ (m, H-C(4'))$; $4.37 \ (m, H-C(3'))$; $4.52 \ (m, OCH₂)$; $4.66 \ (t, J=6.16, 6.41, OCH₂)$; $5.36 \ (d, J=4.02, J=4.02)$ OH-C(3')); 6.37 (d, J=3.61, H-C(5)); 6.46 (t, J=6.46, 6.77, H-C(1')); 6.76-6.82 (m, arom. H); 7.18 – 7.35 (m, H – C(6), arom. H); 7.56 (m, arom. H); 8.13 (m, arom. H). Anal. calc. for $C_{48}H_{45}N_5O_{11}$ (867.90): C 66.43, H 5.23, N 8.07; found: C 66.52, H 5.20, N 7.95.

7-[2-Deoxy-5-O-(4,4'-dimethoxytrityl)-b-D-erythro-pentofuranosyl]-2,4-bis-O-[2-(4-nitrophenyl) ethyl] 7H-pyrrolo[2,3-d]pyrimidine 3'-(2-Cyanoethyl Diisopropylphosphoramidite) (9). As described for 7, with 23 (100 mg, 0.11 mmol), anh. THF (3 ml) instead of CH₂Cl₂, (i-Pr)₂EtN (39 μ l, 0.16 mmol), and 2cyanoethyl diisopropylphosphoramidochloridite $(43 \mu, 0.15 \text{ mmol})$ for 30 min. After evaporation (no extraction), the residue was subjected to FC (silica gel, 1.5×8 cm, CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 99 : 1): 9 (150 mg, 77%). Colorless foam. TLC (silica gel, CH₂Cl₂/Me₂CO 97.5 : 2.5): R_f 0.61. ³¹P-NMR (CDCl₃): 149.71; 149.96.

We thank Mr. T. Wiglenda for his valuable contribution, Dr. P. Leonard for helpful comments, and Ms. S. Budow and Mrs. P. Chittepu for measuring the NMR spectra. Financial support by Roche Diagnostics GmbH (Penzberg, Germany) is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Suzuki, R. Yamaoka, M. Nishi, H. Ide, K. Makino, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 2515.
- [2] M. Friedkin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1952**, 74, 112.
- [3] V. Vongchampa, M. Dong, L. Gingipalli, P. Dedon, Nucleic Acids Res. 2003, 31, 1045.
- [4] G. E. Wuenschell, T. R. O'Connor, J. Termini, *Biochemistry* 2003, 42, 3608.
- [5] C. M. D. S. Stefano, E. Magri, Enzymologia 1972, 43, 423; J. Holguin-Hueso, R. Cardinaud, FEBS Lett. 1972, 20, 171.
- [6] R. C. Moschel, L. K. Keefer, Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 1467.
- [7] F. Seela, Y. Chen, M. Sauer, Nucleosides Nucleotides 1998, 17, 39.
- [8] F. Seela, H. Driller, U. Liman, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1985, 312.
- [9] R. Eritja, D. M. Horowitz, P. A. Walker, J. P. Ziehler-Martin, M. S. Boosalis, M. F. Goodman, K. Itakura, B. E. Kaplan, Nucleic Acids Res. 1986, 14, 8135.
- [10] S. C. Jurczyk, J. Horlacher, K. G. Devined, S. A. Benner, T. R. Battersby, Helv. Chim. Acta 2000, 83, 1517.
- [11] Y. Ueno, A. Shibata, A. Matsuda, Y. Kitade, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2004, 12, 6581.
- [12] J. F. Milligan, S. H. Krawczyk, S. Wadwani, M. D. Matteucci, Nucleic Acids Res. 1993, 21, 327.
- [13] K. B. Roy, J. Frazier, H. T. Miles, *Biopolymers* 1979, 18, 3077.
- [14] M. J. Lutz, H. A. Held, M. Hottiger, U. Hübscher, S. A. Benner, Nucleic Acids Res. 1996, 24, 1308.
- [15] H. Rosemeyer, F. Seela, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 2002, 746.
- [16] F. Seela, C. Wei, Z. Kazimierczuk, Helv. Chim. Acta 1995, 78, 1843; F. Seela, C. Wei, Helv. Chim. Acta 1997, 80, 73.
- [17] Y. Hayakawa, M. Hirose, R. Noyori, J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 5551.
- [18] N. Spinelli, A. Meyer, Y. Hayakawa, J.-L. Imbach, J.-J. Vasseur, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 49.
- [19] K. Groebke, J. Hunziker, W. Fraser, L. Peng, U. Diederichsen, K. Zimmermann, A. Holzner, C. Leumann, A. Eschenmoser, Helv. Chim. Acta 1998, 81, 375.
- [20] Z. Kazimierczuk, H. B. Cottam, G. R. Revankar, R. K. Robins, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 6379.
- [21] H. Li, X. Peng, P. Leonard, F. Seela, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2006, 14, 4089.
- [22] F. Seela, C. Wei, Helv. Chim. Acta 1999, 82, 726.
- [23] F. Seela, U. Kretschmer, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1990, 27, 479.
- [24] F. Seela, X. Peng, H. Li, P. Chittepu, K. I. Shaikh, J. He, Y. He, I. Mikhailopulo, Collection Symposium Series 2005, 7, 1.
- [25] F. Himmelsbach, B. S. Schulz, T. Trichtinger, R. Charubala, W. Pfleiderer, Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 59.
- [26] A. Van Aerschot, M. Mag, P. Herdewijn, H. Vanderhaeghe, Nucleosides Nucleotides 1989, 8, 159.
- [27] H.-D. Winkeler, F. Seela, J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 3119.
- [28] F. Seela, W. Bussmann, Nucleosides Nucleotides 1985, 4, 391.
- [29] F. Seela, G. Becher, Nucleic Acids Res. 2001, 29, 2069.
- [30] K. Schneider, B. T. Chait, Nucleic Acids Res. 1995, 23, 1570; C. W. Siegert, A. Jacob, H. Köster, Anal. Biochem. 1996, 243, 55.
- [31] D. Loakes, D. M. Brown, S. Linde, F. Hill, Nucleic Acids Res. 1995, 23, 2361.
- [32] F. Seela, A. Jawalekar, Helv. Chim. Acta 2002, 85, 1857.
- [33] F. Seela, C. Mittelbach, Nucleosides Nucleotides 1999, 18, 425.
- [34] E. Kulikowska, B. Kierdaszuk, D. Shugar, Acta Biochim. Pol. 2004, 51, 493.
- [35] F. Seela, T. Wiglenda, H. Rosemeyer, H. Eickmeier, H. Reuter, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 603.
- [36] F. Seela, K. Shaikh, Helv. Chim. Acta 2004, 87, 1325.
- [37] Y. Hayakawa, H. Kato, M. Uchiyama, H. Kajino, R. Noyori, J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 2400.

Received June 22, 2006